

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,771	09/381,771 10/26/1999		ERIK BROGAARD THOMSEN	CU-2007RJS	4414
29540	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM	INER
PITNEY HARDIN LLP				SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2(1)	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/381,771	THOMSEN, ERIK BROGAARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joanne Silbermann	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the prac	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the conference of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 09/381,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanok et al. US #3,675,355.
- 3. Shanok et al. teach providing an image to be viewed (the letter E) whereby the image has first, second and third orthogonal directions (Figure 1), reconfiguring the image to a planar image (when the image is printed on the paper in the Figures) whereby the first and second orthogonal directions (the x and y axes of the letter) form an angle of less than 90 degrees (as shown by the corner at the top, left of the letter) and the second and third (z axis, into the letter) orthogonal directions form an angle of greater than 90 degrees, all as seen when printed on the page. The image comprises a primary figurative element (the face of the letter) and a secondary figurative element (the depth of the letter).
- 4. Shanok et al. do not specifically teach placing the image at a given angle with respect to a viewer, however the sign is intended to be seen by viewers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the sign where it would most likely and most easily be seen by the intended viewers.
- 5. Regarding claim 28: the secondary figurative element is shown in relief.

- 6. Regarding claim 29, the background of the page presents a tertiary element.
- 7. Regarding claim 30, the first and second angles are less than 90 degrees.
- 8. Regarding claim 31, the image is made of curable ink (ink on paper).
- 9. Regarding claim 31, printing on a carrying medium (such as a stamp) that is then laid on a print carrier (such as paper) is old and well known in the art of printing.
- 10. Regarding claim 33, a ground surface is considered to be an equivalent alternative to the paper surface shown by Shanok et al.
- 11. Regarding claim 34, the paper of Shanok et al. is considered to be a vertical surface when held upright.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patents 3249994 and 4450640 also show three dimensional images that have been reconfigured to be planar images.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/381,771

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 4

js 27 June 2006